

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/665,303	09/19/2000	John T. Santini Jr.	17648-0014	5521	
29052	7590 06/24/2004		EXAMINER		
SUTHERLAND ASBILL & BRENNAN LLP			NGUYEN, ANH TUAN TUONG		
999 PEACHTREE STREET, N.E. ATLANTA, GA 30309			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3763		
			DATE MAILED: 06/24/200-	DATE MAILED: 06/24/2004	

DATE MANDED: 00/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/665,303	SANTINI JR. ET AL.				
riavicery riesien	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Anhtuan T. Nguyen	3763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 08 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a name places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancellingNOTE: .	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	` ' ——	parate, timely filed amendment				
 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ requesting requesting the application in condition for allowance becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ecause: See Continuation Sheet.	·				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>3-7,11,14,49,52 and 56</u> .	·					
Claim(s) objected to: 13 and 26.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,8,10,12,15,16,18,19,21,23,24,4</u>	1 <u>3-47,50,51 and 53-55</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square appr	oved or b)☐ disapproved by the	ne Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	•				
10. Other:						
		Anhtuan T. Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3763				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It appears that Examiner Thissell satisfactorily established proper prima facie case of obviousness. Therefore, the status of the claims remain as indicated in an earlier Office action .